

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2001/11/19 : CIA-RDP78B04747A000300040018-6

CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

CONTRACT NO.

TASK NO.

25X1A

TO:

ENGINEERING SECTION/CB/PD/OL

DATE

5 May 1965

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

9

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

June 1965

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Zoom Tube Magnifier Prototype

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☒ YES

☐ NO

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED - 90%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED - NA

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT IF YES, CHECK TYPE

☒ YES

☐ NO

☐ COST

☒ PERFORMANCE

☐ DELIVERY

NOTE: USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS. FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING

3. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE

5. ☒ BELOW AVERAGE 7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY

2. ☐ EXCELLENT

4. ☐ AVERAGE

6. ☐ BARELY ADEQUATE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED

☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

☐ TERMINATE

☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES	1		MANUALS		X
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS		X	FINAL REPORT		X
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS		X	SPECIAL TOOLING		X
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		X

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

23 April 1965

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION

SIGNATURE OF APPROVER

FORM 10-64 1897 USE PREVIOUS EDITION

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

(12-36)

Declass Review by NIMA/DOD

Approved For Release 2001/11/19 : CIA-RDP78B04747A000300040018-6

Approved For Release 2001/11/19 : CIA-RDP78B04747A000300040018-6
NARRATIVE REPORT☒ INTERIM☐ FINAL

25X1A

A contract monitoring trip was made on 23 April 1965.
Attendees were [REDACTED]

25X1A

The project Engineer [REDACTED] provided two models for inspection.

25X1A

The following deficiencies were found:

- a. Some internal reflection.
- b. Pincushion distortion.
- c. Focal adjustment should be tighter.
- d. Chain should be mounted higher up on tube.
- e. Tangential distortion at the edges caused by Zoom.
- f. Narrow field of view.

8 X mag. has 12mm field with 2mm curved.

9 X mag. has 10mm field.

18 X mag. has 4 mm field.

The contractor reports that the useful field could probably be increased 2mm by increasing the package 4mm in diameter and 10mm in height.

25X1A

[REDACTED] obtained a model with two different eyepieces in order to run comparative tests.

The contractor was instructed to hold off on development of the 1/10,000 foot reticle pending selection of final package size.

This instrument is currently undergoing detailed evaluation. At first glance it does not appear very acceptable; however, the design problem was quite complex and they may have done their best.